In the United States Court of Federal Claims Office of Special Masters

(E-Filed: June 2, 2009) No. 01-504V

)	
PATRICK GENSCH,)	UNPUBLISHED
)	
Petitioner,)	Hepatitis B Vaccination;
)	Stipulation;
v.)	Thrombocytopenia
)	
SECRETARY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF)	
HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,)	
)	
Respondent.)	
)	

<u>David Terzian</u>, Richmond, VA, for petitioner.

Althea Walker-Davis, Washington, DC, for respondent.

DECISION¹

On August 29, 2001, petitioners John and Jeanne Gensch, filed a petition seeking compensation for their minor son Patrick,² under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program (the "Vaccine Program" or the "Act").³ The petition sought

¹ Vaccine Rule 18(b) states that all of the decisions of the special masters will be made available to the public unless the decisions contain trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged or confidential, or the decisions contain medical or similar information the disclosure of which clearly would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. Within 14 days of the filing of a decision or substantive order with the Clerk of the Court, a party may identify and move for the redaction of privileged or confidential information before the document's public disclosure.

² A motion was filed on January 17, 2002, to amend the caption to reflect the fact that Patrick had reached the age of majority. The motion was granted by February 20, 2002 Order.

³ The National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program is set forth in Part 2 of the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755, codified as amended, 42 U.S.C.A. § 300aa-10-§ 300aa-34 (West 1991 & Supp. 2002) (Vaccine Act or the Act). All citations in this

compensation for injuries, specifically thrombocytopenia, allegedly related to petitioner's receipt of the hepatitis B vaccine. See Stipulation at 1. Respondent denies that petitioner suffered the onset of thrombocytopenia as the result of his hepatitis B vaccinations and denies that petitioner's current disabilities are sequelae of his alleged vaccine-related injury. Id. at 1-2.

On June 1, 2009, counsel for both parties filed a stipulation, stating that a decision should be entered awarding compensation. The parties stipulated that petitioner shall receive the following compensation:

A lump sum of \$20,000.00 in the form of a check payable to petitioner. This amount represents compensation for all damages that would be available under 42 U.S.C. §300aa-15(a).

Stipulation ¶ 8.

The undersigned approves the requested amount for petitioner's compensation. Accordingly, an award should be made in the form of a check payable to petitioner in the amount of \$20,000.00. In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the clerk of the court **SHALL ENTER JUDGMENT** in accordance with the terms of the parties' stipulation.⁴

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Patricia E. Campbell-Smith
Patricia E. Campbell-Smith
Special Master

decision to individual sections of the Vaccine Act are to 42 U.S.C.A. § 300aa.

⁴ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment is expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.